



## **КЛИМАТИЧЕСКАЯ ПОЛИТИКА ГОРОДОВ**

*Аннотация. По данным Международного Энергетического Агентства в мировом масштабе города ответственны за 70 процентов мировой эмиссии парниковых газов. Эмиссия парниковых газов связана с использованием энергии, транспорта, эксплуатацией зданий (конкретный источник парниковых газов может варьировать от города к городу). В связи с этим, многие города в мире приняли на себя обязательства по снижению эмиссии парниковых газов и делают шаги по достижению поставленных целей. Существующие исследования были посвящены изучению мотиваций для таких действий, а также изучению вызовов, связанных с реализацией принятых обещаний. Изучение существующей литературы по данной теме показывает, что на данный момент необходимо более глубокое изучение политики городов в отношении изменения климата.*

*Ключевые слова: климат, климатическая политика, города, политика городов в отношении климата*

© Khaykhadaeva O.D.

## **URBAN CLIMATE POLICY**

*Abstract. According to International Energy Agency, globally, cities are responsible for more than 70% of energy related greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions. These emissions are related with energy production, the use of fossil fuels in industrial processes, transport and buildings. The particular sources of GHG emissions can vary considerably in cities. Many cities around the world are formally engaged with climate change policy networks, have made commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and are taking steps to towards these goals. Existing*



*research has examined the motivations for such actions and has described some implementation challenges. Examination of existing research shows that more in-depth examination of politics surrounding urban climate policy is needed.*

*Keyword: climate, climate policy, cities, urban climate policy*

Many cities around the world are formally engaged with climate change policy networks and have made commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (Arup 2014; Bulkeley 2013). Cities are adapting to climate change through infrastructure and emergency response (Carmin, Nadkarni, and Rhie 2012; Hughes 2015). The activities cities have conducted put them onto world arena. As UN Assistant Secretary-General, Janos Pasztor, noted that cities are “on the frontiers of climate change” and the national governments “need your support to raise ambitions” at the World Summit on Climate and Territories. On December 4, 2015, more than one thousand city leaders attended the Climate Summit for Local Leaders. The role that cities play in implementing of federal programs, and the momentum created by their actions and commitments were highlighted by climate negotiators of the US and China for agreement between two countries (Daveport 2013).

In the future, cities engagement with climate policy will increase as national governments, state and regional governments, foundations, citizens increase their demand for cities to address climate change.

The leadership of city governments on climate change introduces new ideas, interests, information to urban politics. In addition, the physical, institutional, and social underpinnings of cities can be fundamentally reshaped during the process of responding to climate change. Therefore, more comprehensive and interdisciplinary research is needed.

#### **Cities and mitigation policy**

Climate change mitigation means “human efforts to reduce the sources or enhance the sinks of greenhouse gases” (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change





2014). Globally, cities are responsible for more than 70% of energy related GHG emissions (International Energy Agency 2009). These emissions are related with energy production, the use of fossil fuels in industrial processes, transport and buildings. The particular sources of GHG emissions can vary considerably in cities. Car-dominated cities are more likely to have a larger proportion of GHG emissions arising from transportation than cities with stable public transportation. In contrast, cities that rely on fossil fuel energy sources, or have manufacture economy, are likely to have a larger proportion of their GHG emissions coming from energy use. Cities usually distinguish between GHG emissions generated by internal government activities (for example, city owned buildings and vehicle fleets) and GHG emissions generated by activities of broader community (for example, households' energy use, vehicles' use of fuels).

Mitigation policy can take different forms (Bulkeley 2013). Transition to renewable energy sources (solar, wind, biofuels) can reduce GHG gas emissions coming from energy production. Incentivizing energy efficiency reduces demand for energy therefore, reduces GHG emissions. Encouraging alternative transportation modes (for example, mass transit, bicycling) can also reduce GHG emissions from transport. As well, city governments may choose to focus on behavioral change such as carpooling, recycling, resource conservation.

Significant reduction of GHG emissions probably will require from cities changes in infrastructure, institutions, and behavior. Energy systems, the built environment, decision-making processes, consumption behaviors are all potential targets for urban climate policy (Hughes 2016). As we see, the task of addressing climate change is indeed challenging. So, given this challenge, why have some city governments been ambitious to engage with climate policy?

#### **Cities' strategy to mitigate climate change**

Climate change came to policy arena in the 1980s and early 1990s. The initial strategy to reduce GHG emission was mitigation, the first international response to



climate change problem came in the form of UNFCCC, which was signed in 1992 by 195 countries. In 1997, the Kyoto Protocol was ratified; although some countries, including the USA, failed to ratify this treaty. As a result, international efforts to reduce GHG emissions were mostly ineffective (Hoffmann 2011).

In the case of the USA, in the absence of active national climate policy, many city governments have been developing their own climate plans. Existing literature shows that large, better educated, wealthy cities with active environmental groups are more likely to adopt climate change plans, whereas cities with manufacture and other carbon-intensive industries are less likely to adopt climate change plan (Krause 2011a, 2011b, 2012b; Sharp, Daley, and Lynch 2011; Zahran et al. 2008). Leadership within city government and institutional mechanisms for interest group as well as community engagement also contribute to the adoption of climate policy (Bae and Feiock 2013; Feiock, Francis, and Kassehert 2010; Sharp, Daley, and Lynch 2011). Often, cities frame their climate plans to benefit beyond GHG reductions (for example, cost savings, growth management, political reputation) (Betsill 2004).

International experience also shows that cities with support from higher levels of government for local climate initiatives are generally more likely to adopt climate change plans (Homsy and Warner 2015; Krause 2011a). Transnational networks also may influence cities. For example, transnational organizations, such as ICLEI's Cities for Climate Protection and the C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group, provide opportunities for cities to receive technical expertise and learn from other cities' experiences (Bulkeley and Betsill 2003; Lee 2012). Although, as existing research shows, evidence for whether such networks have a tangible impact on local decision-making is mixed (Bulkeley and Betsill 2003; Krause 2011a).

Existing research also highlights the challenges cities face when moving from plan to implementation of programs. In this relevance, Betsill and Bulkeley (2007) noted that the "stubborn gap between the rhetoric and reality of local climate policy" exists. According to Sharp, Daley, and Lunch (2011), adopting climate change plan or promising to reduce GHG emissions is a low-cost policy action for governments.





Research by Krause (2011b) states that only 5% of municipalities have taken the step of performing GHG inventory or provided climate policy with financial resources.

Examination of existing research shows that more in-depth examination of politics surrounding urban climate policy is needed. There is little research about political dynamics engaged in the urban climate policy process. Frameworks and theories for understanding political patterns that underpin climate policy adoption and implementation are underdeveloped.

### **Conclusion**

Climate change is and will be on urban agenda. There is a need to understand political dimensions of urban climate policy. We have to understand how political interests, institutional opportunities and constraints shape the investment and trade-offs cities are ready to make to reach their GHG reduction goals.

### **References**

Arup . 2014. "Climate Action in Megacities: C40 Cities Baseline and opportunities Volume 2.0." [http://c40.org/blog\\_posts/CAM2](http://c40.org/blog_posts/CAM2).

Aylett, Alex. 2013. "Networked Urban Climate Governance: Neighborhood-Scale Residential Solar Energy Systems and the Example of Solarize Portland." *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* 31 (5): 858–75.

Aylett, Alex. 2014. "Progress and Challenges in the Urban Governance of Climate Change: Results of a Global Survey." Department of Urban Studies and Planning, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge.

Bae, Jungah, and Richard Feiock. 2013. "Forms of Government and Climate Change Policies in US Cities." *Urban Studies* 50 (4): 776–88.

Betsill, Michele M. 2001. "Mitigating Climate Change in US Cities: Opportunities and Obstacles." *Local Environment* 6 (4): 393–406.

Betsill, Michele M., and Harriet Bulkeley. 2007. "Looking Back and Thinking Ahead: A Decade of Cities and Climate Change Research." *Local Environment* 12 (5): 447–56.



Buettner, Thiess, and David E. Wildasin. 2006. "The Dynamics of Municipal Fiscal Adjustment." *Journal of Public Economics* 90 (6–7): 1115–32.

Bulkeley, Harriet. 2013. *Cities and Climate Change*. New York: Routledge.

Bulkeley, Harriet, and Michele M. Betsill. 2003. *Cities and Climate Change: Urban Sustainability and Global Environmental Governance*. London: Routledge.

Bulkeley, Harriet, Vanesa Castán Broto, and Gareth A. S. Edwards. 2014. *An Urban Politics of Climate Change: Experimentation and the Governing of Sociotechnical Transitions*. Routledge. Accessed April 29, 2016.

Carmin, Joann, Nikhil Nadkarni, and Christopher Rhie. 2012. "Progress and Clean Air Partnership. 2007. "Cities Preparing for Climate Change: A Study of Six Urban Regions." Toronto. Published by The Clean Air Partnership. [http://www.cleanairpartnership.org/pdf/cities\\_climate\\_change.pdf](http://www.cleanairpartnership.org/pdf/cities_climate_change.pdf)

Cutter, Susan L., William Solecki, Nancy Bragado, JoAnn Carmin, Michail Fragkias, Matthias Ruth, and Thomas J. Wilbanks. 2014. "Urban Systems, Infrastructure, and Vulnerability." In *Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment*, edited by Davenport, Coral. 2015. "U.S. and Chinese Climate Change Negotiators to Meet in Los Angeles." *The New York Times*, September 15.

<http://mobile.nytimes.com/2015/09/16/us/us-and-chinese-climate-change-negotiators-to-meet-in-los-angeles.html>.

Francis N., and R.C. Feiock. 2011. "A Guide for Local Government Executives on Sustainable Energy Management." IBM Institute for the Business of Government, Washington, DC.

Homsy, G., and M. E. Warner. 2015. "Cities and Sustainability: Polycentric Action and Multilevel Governance." *Urban Affairs Review* 51 (1): 46–73.

Hughes, S. 2015. "A Meta-analysis of Urban Climate Change Adaptation Planning in the U.S." *Urban Climate* 14:17–29.





Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. "Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change." Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg3/>.

Judd, D.R., and T. Swanstrom. 2012. *City Politics*. 8th ed. Glenview: Pearson Education.

Krause, R. M. 2011a. "Policy Innovation, Intergovernmental Relations, and the Adoption of Climate Protection Initiatives by U.S. Cities." *Journal of Urban Affairs* 33 (1): 45–60.

Krause, R. M. 2011b. "Symbolic or Substantive Policy? Measuring the Extent of Local Commitment to Climate Protection." *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* 29 (1): 46–62.

Krause, R.M. 2012a. "An Assessment of the Impact that Participation in Local Climate Networks Has on Cities' Implementation of Climate, Energy, and Transportation Policies." *Review of Policy Research* 29 (5): 585–604.

Krause, R. M. 2012b. "Political Decision-Making and the Local Provision of Public Goods: The Case of Municipal Climate Protection in the US." *Urban Studies* 49 (11): 2399–2417.

Lee, T. 2012. "Global Cities and Transnational Climate Change Networks." *Global Environmental Politics* 13 (1): 108–27.

Lubell, M., R. C. Feiock, De La Cruz, and E.E. Ramirez. 2009. "Local Institutions and the Politics of Urban Growth." *American Journal of Political Science* 53 (3): 649–65.

Lubell, M., A. D. Henry, and M. McCoy. 2010. "Collaborative Institutions in an Ecology of Games." *American Journal of Political Science* 54 (2): 287–300.

McGuirk, P. 2000. "Power and Policy Networks in Urban Governance: Local Government and Property-Led Regeneration in Dublin." *Urban Studies* 37 (4): 651–72.

Peterson, P. E. 1981. *City Limits*. Chicago: Chicago Univ. Press.



**ИЗВЕСТИЯ**  
**ИНСТИТУТА ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ**  
**Бурятского государственного университета**  
**имени Доржи Банзарова**

- Pierre, J. 2011. *The Politics of Urban Governance*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Pierre, J., and B. Guy Peters. 2012. "Urban Governance." In *The Oxford Handbook of Urban Politics*, edited by Karen Mossberger, Susan E. Clarke, and Peter John, 71–86. New York: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Portney, K. E. 2013. *Taking Sustainable Cities Seriously: Economic Development, the Environment, and Quality of Life in American Cities*. 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Portney, K. E., and Zachary Cuttler. 2010. "The Local Nonprofit Sector and the Pursuit of Sustainability in American Cities: A Preliminary Exploration." *Local Environment* 15 (4): 323–39.
- Rich, M.J., and R. P. Stoker. 2015. *Collaborative Governance for Urban Revitalization: Lessons from Empowerment Zones*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press.
- Ryan, D. 2015. "From Commitment to Action: A Literature Review on Climate Policy Implementation at City Level." *Climatic Change* 131:519–29.
- Sapotichne, J., B. D. Jones, and M. Wolfe. 2007. "Is Urban Politics a Black Hole? Analyzing the Boundary Between Political Science and Urban Politics." *Urban Affairs Review* 43 (1): 76–106.
- Savitch, H., and R. K. Vogel. 2009. "Regionalism and Urban Politics." In *Theories of Urban Politics*, edited by Jonathan S. Davies and David L. Imbroscio, 2nd ed. London: Sage. Pp. 106-124.
- Sharp, E., D. Daley, and M. Lynch. 2011. "Understanding Local Adoption and Implementation of Climate Change Mitigation Policy." *Urban Affairs Review* 47 (3): 433–57.
- Stoker, G. 1995. "Regime Theory and Urban Politics." In *Theories of Urban Politics*, edited by David Judge, Gerry Stoker, and Harold Wolman, 1st ed., 54–71. London: SAGE.
- Stone, C. N. 1993. "Urban Regimes and the Capacity to Govern: A Political Economy Approach." *Journal of Urban Affairs* 15 (1): 1–28.





Stone, C. N. 2015. "Reflections on Regime Politics from Governing Coalition to Urban Political Order." *Urban Affairs Review* 51 (1): 101–37.

Zahran, S., H. Grover, S.D. Brody, and A.Vedlitz. 2008. "Risk, Stress, and Capacity: Explaining Metropolitan Commitment to Climate Protection." *Urban Affairs Review* 43 (4): 447–74.

Хайхадаева Октябрина Дмитриевна, д.э.н., Бурятский государственный университет им. Доржи Банзарова, Россия, 670000, г. Улан-Удэ, ул. Ранжурова

5